



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,867	06/27/2003	Anthony J. Baerlocher	112300-1637	7831	
29159 7590	11/01/2004		EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC		ONEILL, MICHAEL W			
P. O. BOX 1135			<u></u>		
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3713		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/608	.867	BAERLOCHER, ANTHONY J.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Michael	O'Neill	3713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - External efter - If the - If NO - Failur Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN resions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty or period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	ed on <u>27 June 2003</u>	•				
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is	non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) <u>25-76</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,9-15 and 19-24</u> is/arc Claim(s) <u>3,5-8 and 16-18</u> is/are obj	are withdrawn from one rejected. ected to.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10)□	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any obj	= 1					
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir The oath or declaration is objected						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act	y documents have by documents have be sof the priority documents Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •			-			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or or No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-14-03</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant needs to update the continuity data in the first line of the specification.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/608,867

Art Unit: 3713

Claims 1-76 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-47 of U.S. Patent No. 6,632,139. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are broader in the respect that they are not limited to being used in a bonus scheme as compared to the claims in the '139 Patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 9-15 and 19-22 rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bennett, USPN 6,102,798.

Viewing figure 4, it is disclosed in Bennett a single symbol generator (11) having a designated area, the 6 x 6 matrix. Claim 2 is met with the "no-prize" symbol. Claim 4 is

Application/Control Number: 10/608,867
Art Unit: 3713

met with the "2 credits" symbol. Claims 9 and 19 are met with both the "no-prize" and "2 credits" symbols because these are both non-numeric and numeric symbols respectively. Claims 10 and 20 are met with the "no-prize" symbol because it is non-numeric. Claims 11 and 21 are met because the "2 credits" symbol being displayed means the player receives 2 credits to his or her winnings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3713

Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett, USPN 6,102,798.

Allowing players to play the games over the Internet is an obvious design choice left to the inventors discretion given the prevalence at the time the invention was created of the Internet in every manner of people's lives. It is a notoriously well known fact that on-line gaming existed back in 1998, approximately, two years before the critical date of the instant invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25-76 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not disclose the use of a wheel in the manner claimed and the use of a plurality of independent symbol generators in the manner claimed within these claims.

Claims 3, 5-8 and 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These claims are objected to for the reasoning given above with respect to the allowable claims over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 3713

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael O'Neill whose telephone number is 703-308-3484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan M. Thai can be reached on 703-308-2064. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER

MON